

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/907,224	SAGIV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter Szekely	1714	

**All Participants:**(1) Peter Szekely(2) Todd Garabedian**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2004**Time:** 3:30 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No


If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Anticipation and obviousness***Claims discussed:**

1-25

**Prior art documents discussed:***Raymer et al.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The polymers in the invention will be restricted to a blend of a sulfonated polyurethane and an aliphatic polyurethane.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)